LL.B. - II Term

<u>Paper LB – 203 - Law of Crimes – II</u> The Code of Criminal Procedure

The Code of Criminal Procedure provides the machinery for the detection of crime, apprehension of suspected criminals, collection of evidence, determination of the guilt or innocence of the suspected person, and the imposition of suitable punishment on the guilty. It is further aimed at trying to provide a balance between the needs of the investigating and adjudicatory bodies to detect crime, maintain law and order and the rights of the accused. With the increasing complaints regarding abuse of powers of arrest by the police, custodial torture and death, denial of bail, etc., the course particularly focuses on investigation, arrest, bail and principles of fair trial. The provision relating to plea bargaining has been included to critically examine its operation under the criminal law which may be oppressive unless all the stakeholders are equally positioned.

The primary objectives of this course are to:-

- To familiarize the students with the crucial aspects relating to investigation and trial of offences (like initiation of criminal cases, powers and duties of police during investigation of offences, stages of criminal trial, functions, duties, and powers of criminal courts)
- To sensitize the students about critical issues in administration of criminal justice (like protection of human rights of accused, victims, principles of fair trial)

Learning Outcomes:

At the end of the course, the students will be able to:

- 1. Identify the stages in investigation and procedure of trial in criminal cases
- 2. Explain the powers, functions, and duties of police and criminal courts
- 3. Critically analyze the recent amendments in the Cr PC
- 4. Employ and promote adoption of humane and just practices in administration of criminal justice

Prescribed Legislation: The Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973

Prescribed Books:

- 1. K.N.Chandrsekharan Pillai (Rev.), **R. V. Kelkar's** *Criminal Procedure*, (7th ed., 2021).
- 2. K.N.Chandrsekharan Pillai (Rev.), R. V. Kelkar's Lectures on Criminal Procedure, (6th ed., 2017).

1. Introduction to Cr PC

(4 Lectures)

- a. Importance of Criminal Procedure
- b. Stakeholders and Functionaries in the Criminal Justice Administration
- c. Hierarchy, powers and duties of Criminal Courts
- d. Definitions- Sections 2(a), (g), (h), (w), (wa), (x)

2. Initiation of Criminal Case - Ss. 2 (c) (d) and (1), 154-156, 160-164A, 167, 173, 176 of the Cr PC (8 lectures)

In the scheme of the Code of Criminal Procure, 1973, for the purposes of setting criminal investigating agency into motion, offences are classified into two categories: (i) cognizable offences and (ii) non-cognizable offences. In case of cognizable offences, a police officer can arrest an accused without a warrant but in case of non-cognizable offences he cannot arrest or investigate into such an offence without authorization in this behalf by the magistrate. In case of cognizable offences, an F.I.R forms the basis for putting the investigative machinery into motion. A general overall view of investigation will be given to the students with special emphasis on the essentials of F.I.R and its evidentiary value, police officer's powers to investigate cognizable cases, procedure for investigation, police officer's powers to require attendance of witnesses, examination of witnesses by the police, recording of confessions and statements and report of police officer on completion of investigation.

Cases:	Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, 2008 (11) SCALE 154	01
	Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, 2008 (11) SCALE 157	03
	Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, 2013 (13) SCALE 559	05
	Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of Uttar Pradesh,	14
	Crl.M.P. no.5029 of 2014 in Writ Petition (Crl.) No.68 of 2008	
	Youth Bar Association of India v. Union of India, (2016) 9 SCC 473	15
	State of Orissa v. Sharat Chandra Sahu, (1996) 6 SCC 435	19
	Madhu Bala v. Suresh Kumar, (1997) 8 SCC 476	21
	Sakiri Vasu v. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2008) 2 SCC 409	25

^{*}Vinubhai Haribhai Malaviya v.State of Gujarat, 2019 SCC OnLine SC 1346

a. Procedure for Investigation

^{3.} **Investigation -** Ss. 157, 41-41D, 46-47, 93, 50-50A, 51-53, 53A, 54-54A, 55A, 56-57, 60A, (7 lectures)

b. Arrest – procedure and rights of arrested person c. Search and seizure (sections 165, 166 read with section 100) Cases: D.K.Basu v. State of West Bengal, (1997) 6 SCC 642 State of Haryana v. Dinesh Kumar, (2008) 3SCC 222 Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar, (2014) 8 SCC 273	30 33 40
4. Bail- Ss. 436 - 439 of the Cr PC. (8 learning of the Cr PC) (8 lear	bail as a bail is a epending tion is to cases is and the
a. Grant of Bail, including anticipatory bailb. Cancellation of Bailc. Compulsory release	
Cases: State v. Captain Jagjit Singh, (1962) 3 SCR 622 Moti Ram v. State of M.P., (1978) 4 SCC 47 Gurcharan Singh v. State (Delhi Admn.), (1978) 1 SCC 118 Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation, (2012)1 SCC 4 Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia v. State of Punjab, (1980) 2 SCC 565 *Sushila Aggarwal v. State (NCT of Delhi), (2020) 5 SCC 1 State (Delhi Administration) v. Sanjay Gandhi, (1978) 2 SCC 411 *Gautam Navlakha v. National Investigation Agency, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 382	46 49 57 40 67 83 100
Pre-Trial Proceedings - Ss. 190, 193, 199, 200, 202, 204, 209-224, lectures) a. Cognizance of Offences b. Committal Proceedings c. Framing of Charges Mohan Singh v. State of Bihar, (2011)9 SCC 272	228 (5 107
Ajay Kumar Parmar v. State of Rajasthan, (2012) 9 SCALE 542	115

6.	Trial - Ss. 2(w) (wa) and (x), 225-226, 230-231, 233-234, 242-244, 23262 of the Cr PC. (4 lecture	
	a. Differences among warrant, summons, and summary trialsb. Production of Witnesses - Summons and warrantsc. S.321-Withdrawal of Prosecution	
	Abdul Karim v. State of Karnataka, (2000) 8 SCC 710	124
7.	Rights of Accused and Victims (5 lect	ures)
	 a) Features of Fair Trial – Ss.273, 300, 303-304, 313, 316, 317, 31 327, 406, 409; Articles 20 (1) (3), 22(1), 39A of the Constitution b) Rights of Victims – Ss.357, 357A, 357B, 357C, 372, Proviso c) Witness Protection - Delhi High Court Guidelines for Protection - Vulnerable Witnesses. 	, ,
9	<u>Cases</u> :	
	Zahira Habibulla H. Shiekh v. State of Gujarat,(2004) 4 SCC 158 Mohammed Hussain v. State (Govt. of NCT Delhi),(2012) 9 SCC 40 Mohd. Ajmal Amir Kasab v. State of Maharashtra,(2012) 9 SCC 1	143 8 161 163
	Hardeep Singh v. State of Punjab, (2014) 3 SCC 92	169
	Mehmood Nayyar Azam v. State of Chhattisgarh, (2012) 8 SCC 1	181
	Mrs. Neelam Katara v. Union of India, ILR (2003) II Del 377	188
8. J	fudgment - Ss. 227, 229, 232, 235 353-355 of the Cr PC a. Discharge and acquittal b. Conviction c. Hearing on sentence d. Content of judgments	ectures)
	Ajay Pandit @ Jagdish Dayabhai Patel v. State of Maharahtra, (2012) 8 SCC 43	193
9.	Other Means of Disposal of Cases - Ss. 265A-265L, 320, 360-361 o PC. (4 lecture a. Plea Bargaining	
	154 th Report of the Law Commission of India, 1996, pp. 51-54. b. Compounding of cases	203
10.	Appeals, Inherent Powers of the High Court - Ss. 372, 374 - 376, 482 o	
	Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303	207

*Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur. v. State of Gujarat, (2017) 9 SCC 641

Important note -

- 1. The topics and cases given above are not exhaustive. The teachers teaching the course shall be at liberty to add new topics/cases.
- 2. The students are required to study the legislations as amended up to date and consult the latest editions of books.